

**FILED**

**AUG 28 2006**

**CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**

**NOT FOR PUBLICATION**

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS**

**FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

FILIBERTO GUTIERREZ-  
HERNANDEZ,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 05-50320

D.C. No. CR-04-01371-ER

MEMORANDUM<sup>\*</sup>

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Central District of California  
Edward Rafeedie, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted August 21, 2006<sup>\*\*</sup>

Before: GOODWIN, REINHARDT, and BEA, Circuit Judges.

Filiberto Gutierrez-Hernandez appeals from the sentence imposed after his guilty-plea conviction for unlawful re-entry into the United States following

---

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

deportation, in violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1326. We have jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We affirm and remand.

Gutierrez-Hernandez contends that the district court's imposition of a supervised release condition that requires him to report to his probation officer within 72 hours after re-entry into the United States violates the Fifth Amendment. This contention is foreclosed. *See United States v. Rodriguez-Rodriguez*, 441 F.3d 767, 772-73 (9th Cir. 2006) (holding that the imposition of this supervised release condition does not violate the Fifth Amendment privilege against self-incrimination because the reporting requirement did not compel an admission of criminal activity).

In accordance with *United States v. Rivera-Sanchez*, 222 F.3d 1057, 1062 (9th Cir. 2000), we remand the case to the district court with instructions that it delete from the judgment the incorrect reference to § 1326(b)(2). *See United States v. Herrera-Blanco*, 232 F.3d 715, 719 (9th Cir. 2000) (remanding sua sponte to delete the reference to § 1326(b)).

We therefore **AFFIRM** the sentence and **REMAND** to the district court for the sole purpose of excising the reference to 8 U.S.C. § 1326(b)(2) from the judgment.